

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,564	SASAKI, EISAKU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nhan T. Le	2618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nhan T. Le. (3)_____.

(2) Sean M. McGinn. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 4-8.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney has agreed to change

Claim 4, line 15, change "interference component from the a different polarized wave" to -- interference component from the a different polarized wave --.

Claim 5, line 10, change "polarized wave " to -- polarized wave --.

Claim 6, line 25, change "side relative to the self polarized wave" to -- side relative to the self polarized wave --.

Claim 7, line 11, change "detection scheme" to -- detection scheme -- ; line 13, change "demodulated" to -- demodulated --.

Claim 8, lines 10, change "self" to -- self -- due to scanning problems.